

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,909	04/19/2005	Mauro Barbuio	A-9534	3841	
Hoffman Wasson & Giller 2461 South Clark Street			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L		
Suite 522 Crys Arlington, VA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/531,909	BARBUIO, MAURO					
Examiner	Art Unit					
VICTOR MACARTHUR	3679					

	VICTOR MACARTHUR	36/9				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or 11 to 11 t	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	vember 2008.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SD/CS)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal i					

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/08)	Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No/s VMail Date	6) Other: .	

Application/Control Number: 10/531,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 4/21/2008 does not comply with 37 CFR 1.121 (b) (c) (d) and (h). See MPEP 714.02. Specifically, the numbering of claims 10 and 11 has been reversed without showing changes by underlining and strikethrough or double brackets. Note that any future submissions must include the proper claim status identifiers (currently amended) and proper annotation of changes to avoid a holding of non-compliant amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform to current U.S. practice. Take for instance the following examples:

- The term "the first inner portion" (line 5 of claim 12) lacks proper antecedent basis.
- The term "a greater height" (line 5 of claim 12) is relative and unclear since
 applicant's claims do not specify what element or elements "the first inner portion"
 (line 5 of claim 12) is greater in height when compared to. Higher than what?

Art Unit: 3679

The term "a lesser height" (line 7 of claim 12) is relative and unclear since
applicant's claims do not specify what element or elements "said second outer
portion" (line 7 of claim 12) is lesser in height when compared to. Lesser than what?

- It is unclear what element or elements the pronoun "its" (line 7 of claim 12) is meant to refer.
- The term "the bent portion" (line 9 of claim 12) lacks proper antecedent basis.
- The term "the acute angle" (line 12 of claim 12) lacks proper antecedent basis.
- The term "the free end of said tooth" (line 15 of claim 12) lacks proper antecedent basis.
- The term "the end of said first inner portion" (line 15 of claim 12) lacks proper antecedent basis.
- The term "the free end of said bent portion" (line 16 of claim 12) lacks proper antecedent basis.
- The term "the first inner part" (line 1 of claim 16) lacks proper antecedent basis.

For the reasons mentioned above a great deal of confusion and uncertainty exists as to the proper interpretation of the claim limitations. In accordance with the MPEP § 2173.06, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103 follows based on the examiner's best understanding of the claim scope. The applicant is strongly urged to amend the entirety of the claims (not only the examples listed above) to conform to current U.S. practice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koski (U.S. Patent 5,687,525).

Koski appears to disclose and/or make obvious all of the applicant's claim limitations as best understood by the examiner (see 35 U.S.C. § 112 2nd paragraph rejections above).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment (i.e., the newly added 112 2nd paragraph issues of new claims 12-17) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/531,909

Art Unit: 3679

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (571) 272-7085. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

January 29, 2009

/Victor MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679